CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

# **MNWR**

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

May 8, 1987 / Vol. 36 / No. 17

- 257 Tuberculosis Control Among Homeless Populations
- 260 Treatment and Perceived Blood Pressure Control Among Self-Reported Hypertensives — Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1986
- 267 Mortality Due to Conditions Preventable by Medical Intervention — New Hampshire, 1970-1985
- 269 Bicycle-Related injuries: Data from the National Electronic Injury Surveillance System

# Perspectives in Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

# **Tuberculosis Control Among Homeless Populations**

Homeless persons suffer disproportionately from a variety of health problems, including tuberculosis. Although there is no generally agreed upon definition of homelessness, the homeless can be defined, on a general level, as those who do not have customary and regular access to a conventional dwelling or residence (1). Since 1984, three outbreaks of tuberculosis in shelters for the homeless have been reported to CDC (unpublished data) (2), and recent investigations have shown a prevalence of 1.6%-6.8% for clinically active tuberculosis among selected homeless populations (3). These prevalence rates are 150 to 300 times higher than the nationwide prevalence rate. The prevalence of asymptomatic tuberculosis infection among the homeless has been reported to be as high as 22%-50% (3-5), thus indicating that a large reservoir of infection may exist from which future cases will emerge unless large-scale preventive measures are undertaken.

In January 1987, CDC convened a group of individual consultants\* to assist in developing strategies for dealing with this problem. After reviewing these strategies, CDC developed the following recommendations. State and local health departments are urged to consider implementing these recommendations where applicable.

#### A. Assessment of the Magnitude of the Problem

Each community should assess the nature and magnitude of the problem by determining the proportion of tuberculosis patients who are homeless. Health departments should obtain as much information as possible about where each tuberculosis patient lives. Homeless patients sometimes give the mailing address of a friend or relative; therefore, a mailing address does not necessarily indicate whether or not a patient is homeless. Health departments also should maintain, and regularly update, listings of single-room-occupancy hotels and shelters for the homeless in their areas so that patients' addresses can be compared with locations on the list.

<sup>&</sup>quot;V Taylor, Pima County Health Dept, Tucson, Arizona; WW Stead, MD, Dept of Health, Little Rock, Arkansas; G Schecter, MD, San Francisco Dept of Public Health, San Francisco, California; M Skinner, MD, My Sister's Place, Baltimore, Maryland; MA Barry, MD, Boston Dept of Health and Hospitals, Boston, Massachusetts; EA Nardell, MD, Dept of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts; PW Brickner, MD, St Vincent's Hospital and Medical Center, New York, New York; M Hansen, American Thoracic Society, New York, New York; S Schultz, MD, A Vennema, MD, New York City Dept of Health, New York, New York.

Tuberculosis - Continued

#### B. Case Finding

Passive Approaches. Shelter employees should be educated about tuberculosis, particularly regarding its mode of spread and the potential hazards of transmission in shelters. Any person with a persistent cough should be promptly evaluated at the shelter or transported to a health care facility. If tuberculosis is suspected, more definitive diagnostic tests should be done as soon as possible.

Active Approaches. Where homeless populations are housed in relatively stable groups and where a tuberculosis problem has been identified, periodic mass tuberculin skin testing and/or chest radiography should be considered. Local health departments should work with persons who are caring for the homeless to develop and implement appropriate policies for surveillance of tuberculosis in these communities. Health departments may need to establish special record systems to keep track of the dates and results of screening activities, medical recommendations, and indications of compliance with those recommendations.

#### C. Case Reporting

The local health department should be notified by telephone as soon as a case of tuberculosis is suspected or diagnosed. Delay or failure to notify the health department may result in a patient's being lost to follow-up, with little or no chance for treatment.

#### D. Case Holding

Homeless patients with newly diagnosed tuberculosis should be appropriately housed to allow full supervision of initial therapy and to preclude transmission of infection to their contacts (e.g., other shelter clients and shelter employees). This usually means a period of hospitalization in an isolation room of an acute-care facility until other arrangements can be made. Some communities have developed cost-effective alternatives to hospitalization, such as half-way houses and special shelter areas (Pima County Health Department, unpublished data). If, despite the best efforts of health care providers, an infectious patient refuses treatment, temporary involuntary isolation should be instituted in accordance with state and local public health laws and regulations until the patient has been rendered noninfectious by treatment. This option should be used only in rare instances and after due process.

Rarely, hospitalization or institutionalization throughout the course of therapy may be necessary, but most patients can be effectively managed as outpatients. A staff member of the health department should serve as a liaison between the attending medical team and the patient, interpreting the patient's perspective to the medical team and vice versa and assessing the likelihood of compliance (3,6). The initial visit with the patient should include the development of a long-term treatment plan that the patient understands and can reasonably be expected to follow. Rapport with the patient must be established. A physical description of the patient, and possibly a photograph (with the patient's permission), should be included in the chart.

Clinic schedules should include hours that accommodate patient schedules. Enabling incentives—that is, incentives that allow the patient to overcome barriers to obtaining treatment—should be considered. These might include items such as free meals, special lodging, bus tokens, priority in food lines, assistance in filing for benefits, taxi vouchers, and personally needed articles. In many communities, local merchants and affiliates of the American Lung Association have cooperated to provide incentives to be used by the health department (7).

#### E. Treatment

With rare exceptions, a patient's medications should be taken while he or she is being observed by a responsible person, thus preventing treatment failure, the emergence of resistant organisms, and continued transmission. In many instances, treatment can be given and observed by designated persons at the shelter or at some other location convenient for the patient. Treatment should include intensive multidrug, bactericidal regimens for 6 months (8).

#### Tuberculosis - Continued

Although currently recommended regimens specify that medications should be administered daily for the first 1-2 months of treatment, the supervision of daily therapy for homeless outpatients may not be feasible. Therefore, two alternatives should be considered: 1) provide directly observed therapy 5 days per week (asking the patient to take drugs on his/her own the other 2 days) or 2) provide directly observed therapy 3 days per week using higher drug dosages: isoniazid 15 mg/kg, rifampin 600 mg (or 450 mg for persons weighing <50 kg), ethambutol 30 mg/kg, and pyrazinamide 2.5 g (or 2 g for those weighing <50 kg) (9). Mycobacterium tuberculosis in sputum should be evaluated at 2- to 4-week intervals until sputum smears become negative. Patients with initially positive sputum smears or cultures can return to the shelter when bacteriologic and clinical evidence shows they have responded to therapy and when the health care provider is satisfied that the outpatient treatment plan is being followed.

#### F. Prevention

Case finding and treatment should be implemented as early as possible, since they are the most important measures for preventing the further spread of infection and disease. Efforts should be made to locate contacts of patients so they also may be evaluated and treated, if necessary.

Because crowding and poor ventilation are conducive to tuberculosis transmission, steps should be taken to improve defective housing conditions. Although the use of ultraviolet (UV) lights is controversial because no epidemiologic evaluations of its effectiveness have been conducted, the consultants felt that consideration should be given to installing UV lights in crowded shelters where transmission of tuberculosis infection is a problem. CDC currently recommends UV lights to reduce transmission of tuberculosis in hospitals (10). If UV lights are used, they must be installed and maintained according to accepted guidelines to remain effective and to avoid injury to the skin or eyes of shelter clients and staff (11). An updated reference on the rationale and methodology for using UV lights will be published soon (12).

Except for special surveys, tuberculin skin testing of homeless populations should be undertaken only if there is a commitment to complete the diagnostic evaluation and prescribed therapy. Priorities for preventive therapy should follow established guidelines (8). A poorly implemented preventive therapy program may lead to a worsening of the tuberculosis problem, e.g., if isoniazid preventive therapy is not strictly adhered to, isoniazid-resistant disease may occur. Incentives may improve patients' compliance with preventive treatment. For high-risk individuals who are likely to be noncompliant, directly observed isoniazid preventive therapy given twice a week in a dose of 15 mg/kg should be considered (8,13).

Staff members and persons who work regularly as volunteers in shelters for the homeless should receive a tuberculin skin test, with appropriate follow-up, upon employment and every 6-12 months thereafter (2).

Reported by: Div of Tuberculosis Control, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC. References

- Rossi PH, Wright JD, Fisher GA, Willis G. The urban homeless: estimating composition and size. Science 1987;235:1336-41.
- 2. CDC. Drug-resistant tuberculosis among the homeless Boston. MMWR 1985;34:429-31.
- Slutkin G. Management of tuberculosis in urban homeless indigents. Public Health Rep. 1986;101:481-5.
- Sherman MN, Brickner PW, Schwartz MS, et al. Tuberculosis in single-room-occupancy hotel residents: a persisting focus of disease. NY Med Quart 1980;2:39-41.
- Barry MA, Wall C, Shirley L, et al. Tuberculosis screening in Boston's homeless shelters. Public Health Rep 1986;101:487-98.
- McAdam J, Brickner PW, Glicksman R, Edwards D, Fallon B, Yanowitch P. Tuberculosis in the sro/ horneless population. In: Brickner PW, Scharer LK, Conanan B, Elvy A, Savarese M, eds. Health care of homeless people. New York: Springer, 1985:155-75.
- 7. Snider DE, Anders HM, Pozsik CJ. Incentives to take up health services. Lancet 1986;2:812.

#### Tuberculosis - Continued

- American Thoracic Society, CDC. Treatment of tuberculosis and tuberculosis infection in adults and children. Am Rev Respir Dis 1986;134:355-63.
- Hong Kong Chest Service, British Medical Research Council. Controlled trial of 4 three-times-weekly
  regimens and a daily regimen all given for 6 months for pulmonary tuberculosis—second report: the
  results up to 24 months. Tubercle 1982;63:89-98.
- CDC. Guidelines for prevention of TB transmission in hospitals. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1982; DHHS publication no. (CDC)82-8371.
- Riley RL. Principles of UV air disinfection. Washington, DC: US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, 1972; DHEW publication no. 00-2215.
- Riley RL. Ultraviolet air disinfection for control of respiratory contagion. In: Kundsin RB, ed. Building design and indoor microbial pollution. New York: Oxford University Press (in press).
- Committee on Chemotherapy of Tuberculosis, American College of Chest Physicians. Standard therapy for tuberculosis 1985. Chest 1985;87(suppl):117S-124S.

# Progress in Chronic Disease Prevention

# Treatment and Perceived Blood Pressure Control Among Self-Reported Hypertensives — Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1986

Survey data show that awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension have increased dramatically since 1974. In 1974, only 51% of hypertensive persons were aware that their blood pressure was high. Thirty-six percent of these persons were under treatment, and 16% of those being treated had their blood pressure under control (< 160 mm Hg systolic or 95 mm Hg diastolic). By 1984, 85% were aware of their condition, and 74% were under treatment (1). In 1984, however, the definition of high blood pressure was changed from >160/95 mm Hg to >140/90 mm Hg. Because of this new definition, surveys conducted during 1982-1984 showed that only 24% of hypertensive patients on medication had their blood pressure under control (2).

Because most hypertensive persons have been told that their blood pressure is high, surveys using self-reported blood pressure status have been used to assess awareness, treatment, and perceived control of high blood pressure (3). The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillence System (BRFSS) (4) provides data on self-reported hypertensives from a probability sample of adults in participating states. In this analysis, state-specific estimates of the prevalence of awareness, treatment, and perceived control of hypertension are provided for the 26 states collecting data in 1986.

Survey respondents were defined as "self-reported hypertensives" if they reported that 1) they had been told they had high blood pressure on two or more occasions, 2) they had antihypertensive medication currently prescribed, or 3) they reported having high blood pressure at the time of the survey. Hypertensives were defined as "under treatment" if they reported that medication was currently prescribed. Those who reported that, as far as they knew, their blood pressure was presently normal or under control were defined as "under control". Prevalence estimates and confidence intervals were rounded to the nearest percent.

Table 1 shows the 1986 state-specific prevalences of self-reported hypertensives, hypertensives under treatment, and hypertensives who perceived their blood pressure to be under control. Among participating states, the median percentage of self-reported hypertensives among the adult population was 18% (range 14%-22%). The median percentage of hypertensives under treatment was 75% (range 67%-87%). Finally, the median percentage of hypertensives who were under treatment and thought their blood pressure was under control was 92% (range 88%-98%).

Reported by: BR Powell, Alabama Dept of Public Health. T Hus, hes, Arizona Dept of Health Svcs. F Capell, California Dept of Health Svcs. R Conn, EdD, District of Columbia Dept of Human Svcs. WW Mahoney,

#### **Blood Pressure Control - Continued**

Florida Dept of Health and Rehabilitative Svcs. JD Smith, Georgia Dept of Human Resources. E Tash, Hawaii State Health Dept. JV Patterson, Idaho Dept of Health and Welfare. D Patterson, Illinois Dept of Public Health. S Jain, Indiana State Board of Health. K Bramblett, Kentucky Cabinet for Human Resources. SJ Allison, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health. N Salem, Minnesota Center for Health Statistics. M Van Tuinen, PhD, Missouri Dept of Health. R Moon, Montana Dept of Health and Environmental Sciences. L Pendley, New Mexico Health and Environment Dept. H Bzduch, New York State Dept of Health. C Washington, North Carolina Dept of Human Resources. B Lee, North Dakota State Dept of Health. E Capwell, Ohio Dept of Health. J Cataldo, Rhode Island Dept of Health. FC Wheeler, PhD, South Carolina Dept of Health and Environmental Control. J Fortune, Tennessee Dept of Health and Environment. G Edwards, Utah Dept of Health. LR Anderson, West Virginia Dept of Health. DR Murray, Wisconsin Center for Health Statistics. Div of Nutrition. Center for Health Promotion and Education. CDC.

Editorial Note: It is reasonable to ask how comparable the estimates of self-reported hypertension status from the 26 BRFSS states are to estimates of hypertension status from other population-based surveys that use measured blood pressures to estimate the prevalence of hypertension status. To answer this question, the median prevalence of hypertension status from the 26 BRFSS states was compared with estimates from in-person surveys that were sponsored by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) of the National Institutes of Health and conducted during the period 1982-1984 by seven state health departments. The median percentage of 18% for self-reported hypertension in the 1986 BRFSS is comparable

TABLE 1. Treatment and perceived control of hypertension among self-reported patients in selected states — 1985 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

	Sample	Calif	Reported		sive Patients Treatment		r Control
State	Size	(%)	95%CI*	(%)	95%CI*	(%)†	95%CI*
Alabama	559	16	±3	84	±9	95	±5
Arizona	1,178	14	±2	67	±9	96	±5
California	1,579	16	±2	70	±6	89	±6
District of Columbia	1,145	18	±3	84	±6	93	±5
Florida /	1,162	18	±3	75	±6	91	±7
Georgia	1,140	18	±3	75	±6	98	±3
Hawaii	1,551	16	±3	67	±7	92	±5
Idaho	1,185	15	±2	73	±7	93	±5
Illinois	1,142	18	±3	74	±7	91	±5
		19	±3	79	±6	90	±5
Indiana	1,182	18	±3	80	±6	88	±5
Kentucky	1,216				±7	94	±4
Massachusetts	1,105	19	±3	68			-
Minnesota	3,023	16	±2	78	±4	92	±3
Missouri	873	21	±3	78		89	±6
Montana	1,176	15	±2	75		94	±4
New Mexico	1,139	12	±2	76	_	94	±6
New York	1,135	16	±3	74		94	±6
North Carolina	1,622	18		77	_	92	±4
North Dakota	1,182	16		79		94	±4
Ohio	1,158	17	±3	75	±6	91	±6
Rhode Island	1,535	20	±2	87	±4	93	±4
South Carolina	1,793	19	±2	77	±5	92	±4
Tennessee	1,779	20	±2	82	±5	92	±3
Utah	1,188	16	±2	71	±6	89	±6
West Virginia	1,380	22	±3	78	±5	88	±5
Wisconsin	1,268	16	±2	72	±7	92	±6

<sup>\*</sup>Confidence interval, rounded to nearest whole percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Perceived blood pressure control among treated hypertensive patients.

#### Blood Pressure Control - Continued

to the estimate of approximately 16%\* for hypertensives who were aware of their condition in the NHLBI surveys (1). However, because actual measurements cannot be taken in the BRFSS, the prevalence of self-reported hypertension includes persons who may not actually have been hypertensive at the time of the survey. In addition, it does not include hypertensives who were not aware of their condition.

The percentage of self-reported hypertensives who reported being under treatment at the time of the BRFSS (75%) is similar to the percentage of hypertensives under treatment in the NHLBI surveys (74%). In contrast, there was a median of 92% for hypertensives who perceived their blood pressure to be under control in the BRFSS, whereas the NHLBI surveys, based on measured blood pressures, estimated that 24% of treated hypertensives were under control (blood pressure < 140/90 mm Hg) (2). The implication is that, when 140/90 mm Hg is used as the definition of high blood pressure, many hypertensives who are under treatment may incorrectly consider their blood pressure to be controlled.

(Continued on page 267)

TABLE I. Summary - cases specified notifishin diseases. United States

	1	7th Week End	gnib	Cumula	ative, 17th We	ek Ending
Disease	May 2, 1987	Apr. 26, 1986	Median 1982-1986	May 2, 1987	Apr. 26, 1986	Median 1982-1986
Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS)	176	255	N	6,186	4.107	N
Aseptic meningitis	88	115	70	1,433	1.433	1,319
Encephalitis: Frimary (arthropod-borne				.,	1,400	1,010
& unapac)	19	10	18	247	268	295
Post-infectious	4	2	2	19	32	32
Gonorrhea: Civilian	13.212	18,065	16.983	254,291	272.867	272,867
Military	222	289	438	5,513	5,106	6,991
Hepatitis: Type A	420	440	438	7,996	7,317	7,317
Type B	473	536	495	8,080	8.167	7,994
Non A. Non B	48	79	N	969	1,116	N
Unapacified	48	91	114	1,081	1.014	1,707
agionellosis	20	16	N	243	197	N.
Leproey	9	7	7	72	94	90
Malaria	20	17	23	217	231	230
Massias: Total*	77	233	141	1,133	2.311	1,003
Indigenous	69	223	N	967	2,238	N.003
Imported		10	94	166	69	N
Meningocoocai infections: Total	42	62	70	1,162	1.081	1,153
Civilian	42	62	70	1,161	1,079	1,142
Military				1,	1,070	4
Mumps	369	77	77	6,076	1,152	1,368
Portugais	23	77	34	572	835	585
Rubella (German measles)	7	13	23	106	173	230
Syphilis (Primary & Secondary): Civilian	602	671	617	10,614	8,368	9,290
Military	4	6	11	67	79	113
Toxic Shock syndrome	9	13	PA .	103	121	N
Tuberculosis	424	478	478	6,378	6,331	6,603
Tularemia		-	1	34	20	27
Typhoid Fever	3		7	83	75	108
Typhus fever, tick-home (RMSF)			10	21	27	36
Rabias, animal	92	165	153	1,532	1,774	1,774
			100	.,002	1,//4	1,774

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

	Cum. 1987		Cum. 1987
Anthrax Botulism: Foodborne Infant Other Brucellosis (Covn. 1; Mo. 1; Tex. 2; Calif. 1) Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome (Wash. 1) Congenital syphilis, ages < 1 year Diphtheria	1 18 27 3	Leptospirosis Piague Poliomyelitis, Paralytic Patitacosis (Colo. 3) Rabies, human Tetanus Trichinosis Typhus fever, fisa-borne (endemic, murine) (Tex. 1)	8 2 - 26 - 9 11 7

<sup>&</sup>quot;Four of the 77 reported cases for this week were imported from a foreign country or can be directly traceable to a known internationally imported case within two generations.

<sup>\*19%</sup> prevalence of hypertension x 85% awareness.

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending May 2, 1987 and April 26, 1986 (17th Week)

		Assptic	Ence	phalitis	Gonerr	hea	He	spatitis (V	irell, by ty		Legionel	
Reporting Area	AIDS	Menin- gitis	Primary	Post-in- fectious	Civilia	an)	. A		NA,NB	Unspeci- fied	losis	Leprosy
	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum 1987	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1986	1987	1987	1987	1987	1987	Cum 1987
INITED STATES	6,186	88	247	19	254,291	272,867	420	473	48	48	20	72
NEW ENGLAND	223	2	11	1	9,884	5,805	11	45	2	5	1	4
Asine	11		1		275	306 167	2	2	i			2
6 H4 /2	6		2		151	94		2				
Mass	131		4		3,283	2,601	5	30	1	5	1	2
R.I.	19	2	3	1	738	567	2	8 2		*		*
Conn	52		1		4,371	2.070	2	_				
MID ATLANTIC	1,973	8	27	1	41,538	46,216	20	39	3	11	*	5
Jipstate N Y	227	3	15	1	5,435 22,310	5,236	14	12	1	1		
WY City	1,199	3	4 3	-	5.243	27,015 6,154	- 5	13	2	6		
N J Pa	153	-	5		8,550	7,811			-			
EN CENTRAL	360			*	29,437 8,244	36,999 8,812	19	45 15	4 2	4	4	1
Ohio Ind	71			- 1	2.801	4.029	ű	Ü	ũ	U		
ing)	154		. 8		3.874	9,303		6	- *	1		
Mich	69		22		11,829	10,797	13	24	2	3	6	
Wis	34		. 2		2,689	4,068			*			
WN CENTRAL	132	1 1	14		10,450	11,771	27	28	5	1	1	*
Mirro	36		. 8		1,728	1,787	6	6	3			
lowa			1		986	1,166	3	13			1	
Mo	67	, ,			5,435	5,770	3	13				
N Dek					208	235	3		1			
5 Dak Nebr			. 3		605	866	1	1				
Kans	11	6	. 2		1,389	1,841	10	3	1	1		*
S ATLANTIC	956	1 10	34		68,664	69,398	28	90	2	1	2	4
Del	931		. 1		1,038	1,098	3	*				1.9
Md	141	1 1	3	2	8,127	7,901	10	13	*			2
DC	12	,		:	4,688	5,271	1	*	*			
Va	61		1 15	1	5,226 532	5,740 830	2	2				
W Va	3		5 8		10,406	11,225	4	13	1			
SC	2				5,815	6,079		12				1
Ga	14		1 .	-	11,766	12,445	1	25	1	1		
Fia	40	0 1	9 2	5	21,067	18,809	7	25				,
ES CENTRAL	6	4 !	9 14		19,236	22,367	2	19	1		. 3	
Ky	1		. 6		1,977	2,623	2	6 7	1		. 4	
Tenn	3	2	9 5		6,567	8,815 6,256		6			. 1	
Ala Miss	3	8		2	4,399	4,673					. ,	
											7	
WS CENTRAL	51		9 2	6 1	29,912	33,329 3,172	40	43	7		7	
Ark. Lo		16	2		5,482	5,908		. 1			1	1
Okla	-	12	2	9 -	3,216	3,856		B 10	) 3		1	
Yes		70		2 -	18,272	20,393	3	3 30	4		6	
MOUNTAIN	14	19	4	7 1	6,765	8,246		1 45	5 5		7	1
Mont		2	1		168	220		- 1			*	*
Idaho		3	*		241	252		8 2			*	
Wyo		2	:	: :	1,267	195 2,178					3	1
Colo		73 15	1	1	744	847		3				
N Mex Anz		21		5 1	2,549	2,746	3	8 2	2		4	
Utah		9			234	346		6 :	2		*	*
Nev		24		* *	1,461	1,463		2 :	3 1			*
PACIFIC	1,7	36	27 6	5 4	39,405	38,736	21				12	2 5
Wash		69	5	8 -	2,725	3,069	5	9 1	7 2		ŝ	1
Orag		37		7 4	1,459	1,503					9	1 4
Calif Alaska	1,6	5	21 4	17 4	821	985			7			
Hawan		24	1	i .	336				1			
Guerra					60	32						
Guam P R		16	1	- 1	718	748	1		1			*
V1					73			*				. 1
Pac. Trust Terr		*	*		173							
Amer Semos		*	*		34	14						

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending May 2, 1987 and April 26, 1986 (17th Week)

	Malaria			iles (Rube	oia)		Menin-								
Reporting Area	- Andrews	Indig	enous	Import	ed *	Total	gococcal	Mur	mps	'	Pertussia			Rubella	
	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987		Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987	1987	Curn. 1987	Cum. 1986	1987	Cum. 1987	Cum 1986
UNITED STATES	217	69	967		166	2,311	1,162	368	6,076	23	572	835	7	106	17
NEW ENGLAND	15	16	57		53	16	114	2	16	1	15	44		100	1,
Maine N.H.			3		-	-	6		10	1	15	2			
Vt.	* 0	16	49		46				6	1	2	16	-		
Mass.	8		1	de .	- 5		6	40	2		3	2			
RI	4				2	15			1		3	9			
Conn	3		4	-	~	1	10	1	2 5	*	7	14	-	*	
MID ATLANTIC	12	14	137		35	788	75	4	95	4	79	85			
Upstate N.Y.	7	1	9			4	52	1	38	4	62	59		3	1
N Y City	2		106		8	101	7					3		1	
N.J. Pa	1 2	13	6	*	2	682	. *	3	28		4	5		1	
	4	13	16		17	1	16		29	*.	13	18	*		
EN CENTRAL Ohio	5 4	7	100	2	15	458	149	123	3,406	2	73	155		17	1
ling.		ú		Ü.	4		54		45	-	25	63			
MI.	1	7	57	2 11	11	276	17 23	U	419	U	1	16	U		
Mich.			23			2/0	47	90	1,829	1	22	21	*	16	
Wis.			20		*	178	8	9	632		20	16 39	:	1	
W.N. CENTRAL	5	3	34	3	5	100	60	105	782		33	38		1	
Minn.	3			31	3	8	18	58	492		7	20		1	
News News					*	1	3	32	212		3	5		1	
N. Dan.	2	3	34	*	1	3	17	2	13	-	13	4			
S Dat					*	4	1		3	*	1	2			
Netr				-			1	13	33		2				
Kans.					1	84	18		27	-	7	6			
S ATLANTIC	37	1	37	1	2	306	209	3	73	2	129	315			
Del	1				-	1	4		/3	-	120	182		9	
Md.					*	16	17				2	42		2	
D C Va	5	*		1.7	1		4				-				
W. Va	6			*		4			8	1	33	9		1	
NC	3					2	29	1	16		25	4			
SC	3					270			9	1	52	14			
Go	2					1	40	-	6		13	45	-	1	
Fia:	9	1	37		1	12		2	24		4	16	-	5	
E.S. CENTRAL	1	1	1			1	58	105	928		7	15		2	
Ky.		*					10		192		1	1		2	
Tenn		*		*		1		102	723	*	1	5		-	
Ala. Miss.	1	1	1	*	-			3	13		3		-		
							4		-		2	-			
W.S. CENTRAL	13	*	74	*	1	327		9	494		40	26		1	3
La	1			*		274	7	*	203	*	2	2		1	-
Okia.	3				1	á	10		171	*	9	3			
You	9	*	74			49		N 9	120		29	21			3
MOUNTAIN		19	151		11	126	38	9	124	3	44	86			
Mont		16	16		1	1			124		1	3		6	
Idaho	1			*	-		3		2	1	12	26		1	
Wyo. Colo.	i			*	*			*			2			1	
N. Mex.		3	134		9	18	15	1	22		17	16			
Ariz	4		1		1	102		N B	93	2	3	23			
Utah					-				5	1	1	23		4	
Nev.	2		*	*			2		2						
PACIFIC	121		376	2	44	189	370		158	11	152	71			
Wash	7	1	1			41		2	29	11	22	26	7	67	9
Oreg.	2		2		32	2	14	N	N		13	5		1	
Calif. Alaska	100	7	373			126	303	8	116	4	70	38	3	58	9
Hawaii	3			21	â	20	4 2		10	7	2	1	*	*	
Guam		_	2			3				,	45	1	4	8	
P.R.		36	340			4			4	*					
V.L.								-	4		11	4		1	5
Pac. Trust Terr.							1		2		1			1	
Amer Samos								-	3						

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending May 2, 1987 and April 26, 1986 (17th Week)

Reporting Area	Syphilis (C (Primary & S	Civilian) econdary)	Toxic- shock Syndrome	Tuberca	ulosis	Tuls- remia	Typhoid Faver	Typhus Fever (Tick-bornel (RMSF)	Rabies, Animal
naporing Area	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	1987	Cum 1987	Cum. 1986	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987
UNITED STATES	10,614	8,368	9	6,378	6,331	34	83	21	1,532
NEW ENGLAND	165	158	1	180	200		7		
Maine	1	10		10	18	4			
N H Vt	1	6	-	4	7		-		-
Mass	79	75	1	96	103		5		
R1 Conn	60	10 51		16 59	11 52	:	1	:	
	1,885	1,140	1	1,191	1,291				119
MID ATLANTIC Upstate N Y	70	62		183	201	-	3		9
N Y City	1,331	636	1	569	610				
N J	211	224		214	233	-	5		107
Pa	273	218		225	247				
EN CENTRAL	171	323		768 159	121	1	12	3	37
Otwo	15	41	U	63	96		1		4
8	58	173	-	312	359		2		18
Mich	44	46	*	209	180	-	2		14
Wis	18	18		25	44				
WN CENTRAL	43	80	3	182 46	178 45	10	5 2		304 65
Minn	7	5	3	10	14	2			101
Mo	22	45		92	90	7	3	-	17
N Dak		2		1	2	*	1.4	*	42
S Det	5 3	8		11	6				11
Nebr Kans	1	7		13	17	1			21
S ATLANTIC	3,658	2,531		1.285	1,237	3	6	5	450
Del	3,058	12	-	11	15	1			
Md	204	155		103	92		1		164
B C	101	116 147		112	120	i			20 141
W Va	5	7		41	43		1		21
NC	199	176		128	167	1	1	2	20
sc	251 524	235 513		125	139	:		3	84
Ga	2,256	1,170		529	447		3	-	20
ES CENTRAL	636	585		492	568	2	1	4	131
Ky	6	26		117	148	1	i	3	38
Tenn	293 174	223 194		143 173	154 182				24
Ala Miss	163	142		59	84	1	*	1	
W S CENTRAL	1,401	1,730		707	757	10	5	7	222
Ark	70	93		73	90	3	1		63
La	246	293		105	145	6	i	7	4
Okta Tex	1,038	1,293		457	457		3		149
MOUNTAIN	244	206	2	160	129	7	3	1	116
Mont	7	2	-	8	5	1	-	1	56
Idaho	22	1		16	5				32
Wyo Colo	29	63			6	1			
N Mex	21	26		32	32	1	3	*	27
Anz	120	90		88	64	2			41
Utah	38	20		10	13				1
PACIFIC	2,421	1,61	5 2	1,413	1,171	1	36	1	153
Wash	31	4	8 -	66	66				
Oreg	88	3	3 .	1,218	43 991	1	34	i	152
Caki Alaska	2,295		7 2	22	17				1
Hawan	6	1	7 -	66	64		2		
Guam	1		1 -	4					23
PR	316		4	86	81				23
VI Pac Trust Terr	83			52			1		
Amer Samos	2			-					

U Unavailable

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities," week ending May 2, 1987 (17th Week)

		All Caus	ses, By A	ge (Year	rail .					All Cause	is, By Ag	e (Years			
Reporting Area	All Ages	>65	45-84	25-44	1-24	<1	Pár- Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	>65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&I** Tota
NEW ENGLAND	851	455	115	47	16	18	52	S. ATLANTIC	1,248	773	286	127	32	46	53
Boston, Mass.	172	110	30	20	5	7	- 28	Atlanta, Ga.	139	83	29	22	1	4	4
Bridgeport, Conn.	58	34	10	10	2	-	1	Baltimore, Md.	210	126	52	20	3	9	11
Cambridge, Mass.	26	24	1	1	-		6	Charlotte, N.C.	97	59	22	11	2	3	7
Fall River, Mass.	20	18	1	1		-		Jacksonville, Fla.	110	76	15	12	2	5	3
Hartford, Conn.	62	40	17	3	1	1	-	Miami, Fla.	128	76	19	14	11		
Lowell, Mass.	22	19	2		1		4	Norfolk, Va.	61	38	13	7	1	2	3
Lynn, Mass.	18	16	3		-	-	-	Richmond, Vs.	96	70	19	6	-	1	
New Bedford, Mas	28	23	2	3			1	Savannah, Ga.	60	36	17	4	2	1	3
lew Heven, Conn.	40	22	10	3	2	3	1	St. Petersburg, Fla.	95	79	7	7	1	1	1
rovidence, R.I.	54	41	7	1	1	4	1	Tampa, Fla.	71	46	18	3	1	2	
iomerville, Mass.	6	5	1				1	Washington, D.C.	160	73	48	19	7	10	-
ipringfield, Mass.	40	26	11	2		1	5	Wilmington, Del.	21	11	7	2	1		
Waterbury, Conn.	31 .	21	- 6	2	2				-						
Aforcester, Mass.	76	57	14	1	2	2	6	E.S. CENTRAL	804	545	153	61	28	17	31
								Birmingham, Ala.	111	74	21			2	-
	2,935	1,881	588	290	87	80	122	Chattanooga, Tenn.	78	56	12	7	1	2	
Albany, N.Y.	51	33	10	3	2	3		Knoxville, Tenn.	97	63	16	12	3	4	
Allentown, Pp.	21	16	5	*	*	*		Louisville, Ky	85	64	14	3	2	2	- 1
Buffalo, N.Y.	111	81	18		4		6	Memphis, Tenn.	219	142	44	22	8	3	17
Camden, N.J.	45	25	12	6	1	1	*	Mobile, Ala.	52	30	15	5	2	-	
Elizabeth, N.J.	29	20	6	3		-		Montgomery, Ala.	47	33	8	3	1	2	
Eria, Pa.t	30	19		3		-	2	Nashville, Tenn.	116	83	24	3	3	2	
Jersey City, N.J.	69	46	10	. 9		4	4		7.7-			-	-	-	
N.Y. City, N.Y.	1,507	940	302	178	48	39	58	W.S. CENTRAL	1,417	857	320	130	61	49	7
Newark, N.J.	94	41	26	17	7	3	4	Austin, Tex.	63	42	13	4	2	2	-
sterson, N.J.	41	25	. 8	4	9	3	4	Baton Rouge, La.	45	30	9	2	2	2	
Philadelphia, Pa.	502	321	111	37	10	23	26	Corpus Christi, Tex	35	27	6	1	- 1		
ittsburgh, Pa.†	78	53	16	4	1	4	2	Dallas, Tex.	229	118	56	33	15	7	1
leading, Pa.	25	21	2	1	1		2	El Peso, Tex.	52	32	10	4	3	3	
Rochester, N.Y.	124	93	17	7	4	3	6	Fort Worth, Tex.	93	59	22	6	2	4	
Schenectady, N.Y.	19	11	7		1	-		Houston, Tex §	308	176	74	34	13	11	
Scranton, Pa.1	27	24	2		1			Little Rock, Ark	75	46	23	5	13	**	10
Byracuse, N.Y.	94	60	19	5	5	5	2	New Orleans, La	137	92	23	15	2	1	
Trenton, N.J.	20	14	3	3		-	2	San Antonio, Tex							
Utica, N.Y.	25	18	5	1	1	-	1	Shreveport, La	216	117	54	18	12	15	1
Yonkers, N.Y.	23	20	1	1		1	3	Tulsa, Okla.	104	40 78	13	3 5	3	4	1
E.N. CENTRAL								********		-					3
Akron, Ohio	2,300	1,511	505	157	58	78	88	MOUNTAIN	718	481	146	53	24	14	3
	48	31	11	1	2	3		Albuquerque, N Mex		68	18	7	5		
Canton, Ohio	40	31		1		2	3	Colo. Springs, Colo.	46	36	4	. 3	2	1	
Chicago, III.§	564	362	125	45	10	22	16	Denver, Colo.	124	78	27		6	3	
Cincinneti, Ohio	139	86	38	. 15	7	3	9	Las Vegas, Nev	95	63	18	11	2	- 1	
Cleveland, Ohio	176	103	38	18	11	8		Ogden, Utah	20	12	- 5		3		
Columbus, Ohio	87	54	23	4	3	3	1	Phoenix, Ariz	141	89	36		3	5	
Dayton, Ohio	107	79	21	6	3		1	Pueblo, Colo.	22	16	6				
Detroit, Mich.	253	141	61	30	5	16	8	Salt Lake City, Utah	38	26	7	5	1		
vanaville, Ind.§	41	31	7	3			2	Tucson, Ariz.	133	94	25	9	2	3	1
ort Wayne, Ind.	47	30	12	2	3										
iery, Ind.	24	11	9	3	1		1	PACIFIC	1,930	1,274	385	153	69	39	10
Grand Repids, Mic		50	10	7	4	2	7	Berkeley, Calif	12	5	5	1	1		
ndienepolis, Ind	200	118	57	15	5	5	8	Fresno, Calif	68	47	16	3	2	*	
Radison, Wis. §	36	30	5	1			1	Glendale, Calif	40	31	5		2		
Allwaukee, Wis.	147	114	22	6	1	4	5	Honolulu, Hawaii	89	57	21	4	3	4	1
Boria, III.	30	23	5	1	1		4	Long Beach, Calif.	73	53	14	4		2	
lockford, III.	54	38	10	2	2	2	7	Los Angeles, Calif	595	372	121	61	30	7	1
Fouth Bend, Ind.	46	38	7			9		Oakland, Calif.	69	39	19	6	5		
foledo. Ohio	125	97	20		100	3	7	Pasadena, Calif.	29	22	3		1	2	
foungatown, Ohio	72	44	18	4	2	4		Portland, Oreg.	105	86	10	1	5	3	
								Sacramento, Calif.	152	102	31		3	4	
W.N. CENTRAL	864	572	180	50	24	38	58	San Diego, Calif.	151	96	30		7	1	1
Des Moines, Iowa	63	38	20	2	3	*	4	San Francisco, Calif	137	88	26		2	2	
Duluth, Minn.	29	20	4	6			3	San Jose, Calif.	160	107	36	11	2	4	
Kansas City, Kans.	30	23			1		3	Seattle, Wash.	144	92	25	17	2	8	
Cansas City, Mo.	136	76	35	13	4	7	5	Spokene, Wash	55	36	11	3	3	2	
Lincoln, Nebr.	35	30	2		1	2	2	Tacoma, Wash	51	39	10		1		
Minnespolis, Minn	181	120	36		5	13	7								
Omaha, Nebr.	101	68	17	6	2		7	TOTAL	12,876	8,349	2.658	1,068	399	388	6
Bt. Louis, Mo.	153	106	31	7	4	6	16								
St. Paul, Minn.	59	42	13	4			1								
	78	49		6	4	3									

<sup>\*</sup>Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 crises in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certricate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included. \*Procurrence and influenza.\*

\*Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

\*Total includes unknown ages.\*

\*Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average of past 4 weeks.

#### Blood Pressure Control - Continued

Controlling high blood pressure has proven to be one of the most effective means available for reducing mortality in the adult population (4). However, if persons with hypertension erroneously believe that their high blood pressure is under control, they may be less motivated to adhere to their antihypertensive medications or follow beneficial health practices such as restricting intake of sodium, using alcohol in moderation, or controlling their weight. As a result, their blood pressure may remain uncontrolled.

Differences between perceived and actual control of high blood pressure could be due to any of the following nonclinical reasons: 1) health professionals may not have adopted the new definition of controlled blood pressure, 2) health professionals who have adopted the new definition may not have adequately informed patients of the status of their blood pressure control, 3) treated hypertensives may not remember that their blood pressure was not under control, 4) treated hypertensives may misperceive "under treatment" as meaning "under control", or 5) treated hypertensives may be reluctant to admit that their blood pressure is not under control.

Whatever the explanation for the discrepancy between measured and perceived control, health professionals and patients need to view controlled blood pressure as ≤140/90 mm Hg. In addition, it is important for health professionals to properly inform patients of the status of their blood pressure. Efforts of the National High Blood Pressure Education Program to get the public to "know their numbers", combined with education regarding the definition of hypertension, will help in achieving the 1990 objective of having 60% of hypertensives controlled at or below 140/90 mm Hg (2).

#### References

- 1. CDC. Advancements in meeting the 1990 hypertension objectives. MMWR 1987;36:144,149-51.
- Public Health Service. The 1990 health objectives for the nation: a midcourse review. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, 1986:15-23.
- Roccella EJ, Bowler AE, Ames MV, Horan MJ. Hypertension knowledge, attitudes, and behavior: 1985 NHIS findings. Public Health Rep 1986;101:599-606.
- 4. CDC. Behavioral risk-factor surveillance in selected states 1985. MMWR 1986;35:441-4.

# Perspectives in Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

# Mortality Due to Conditions Preventable by Medical Intervention — New Hampshire, 1970-1985

Epidemiologic surveillance, which serves to identify areas requiring prevention and control efforts, is most effective when accurate and complete population-based data are available. In New Hampshire, population-based incidence data are available for only a limited number of conditions; therefore, mortality data from death certificates have been used as an indicator of health status. The following analysis examines the distribution of deaths in New Hampshire due to 14 specific conditions during the period 1970-1985. These particular conditions were studied because they generally do not lead to death if appropriate medical intervention is provided (1).

For this analysis, deaths were tabulated by year and county of residence. To increase the likelihood that mortality from the given conditions was preventable, only deaths occurring among specific age groups were included. Age-adjusted rates were derived by the direct method using the 1970 U.S. population as the standard. To estimate the years of potential life lost (YPLL) before age 65, each death was considered to have occurred during the mid-year of the individual age stratum.

During this 16-year period, 870 deaths were attributable to these 14 selected causes. Cervical cancer, hypertensive heart disease, and pneumonia accounted for 69% of these

## Mortality - Continued

deaths and for 60% of the resulting YPLL (Table 2). The greatest number of deaths occurred among persons 45-54 years of age, followed by those aged 55-64. The overall age-adjusted county death rates ranged from 84.6 to 152.7/100,000 population during this period. The statewide age-adjusted mortality rate for these conditions declined from 97 in 1970 to 51 in 1985.

Reported by: E Schwartz, MD, MPH, State Epidemiologist, New Hampshire State Dept of Health and Human Services. Div of Surveillance and Epidemiologic Studies, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: The above analysis provides a means for evaluating screening and treatment services and may be useful for health planning and for monitoring the impact of health care delivery on mortality. The occurrence of even a single sentinel health event\* represents a possible deficiency in the health care system. Thus, surveillance of case counts rather than comparison of rates is important. Calculation of YPLL before age 65 emphasizes the loss in productive years of life.

TABLE 2. Number of deaths and years of potential life lost (YPLL)\* due to conditions preventable by medical intervention, by age and cause — New Hampshire, 1970-1985

Condition			Age			Tot	tal
(Ninth Revision ICD <sup>†</sup> )	15-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Deaths	YPLL
Cervical cancer							
(180)	0	16	26	94	92	228	3,194
Hypertensive heart disease							
(401-404)	0	4	11	39	135	189	1,771
Pneumonia and bronchitis							
(480-486, 490)	19	15	41	110	NAS	185	4,149
Cholecystitis							
(574-575)	0	0	1	12	25	38	350
Asthma							
(493)	8	6	9	14	NAS	37	1,024
Bacterial infection							
(004,034,320,381-383,							
390-392,680-686,710,720)	3	4	6	9	15	37	655
Hodgkins disease							
(201)	7	24	NAS	NAS	NAS	31	1,171
Rheumatic heart disease							
(392-398)	3	7	17	NAS	NAS	27	820
Tuberculosis							
(011-019)	3	7	17	0	0	27	190
Abdominal hernia							
(550-553)	0	0	3	10	10	23	287
Influenza							
(487)	2	4	4	7	NAS	16	419
Maternal death							
(630-678)	6	8	2	NAS	NAS	16	606
Appendicitis							
(540-543)	1	2	3	2	6	14	258
Acute respiratory disease							
(460-466)	3	2	6	0	NA <sup>§</sup>	11	251
Total	49	93	124	312	292	870	15,147

<sup>\*</sup>For details of calculation, see MMWR Supplement, Premature Mortality in the United States, December 19, 1986, Vol. 35, No. 2S.

<sup>\*</sup>Unnecessary or untimely death (2).

<sup>†</sup>International Classification of Diseases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Age group not included in analysis.

# Mortality - Continued

The annual averages of 14 deaths from cervical cancer and 12 deaths from hypertensive heart disease suggest the need to evaluate screening and treatment services. The small but persistent number of deaths due to appendicitis, cholecystitis, and abdominal hernias, all of which are surgically correctable, implies a need to evaluate the accessibility of health care. Additionally, an average 12 pneumonia and bronchitis deaths each year in the 15- to 54-year age group suggests possible shortcomings in the health care system.

Data from death certificates do not provide sufficient detail to determine the underlying circumstances that may have led to these deaths. Various factors, including the presence of coexisting diseases and other predisposing conditions that may complicate treatment, might explain their occurrence. Socioeconomic conditions such as financial constraints, lack of education, and unavailability of transportation as well as the quality of medical care may also be important.

The almost 50% decline in the statewide age-adjusted mortality rate from these 14 conditions over the 16-year period indicates an improvement in health care. Continued efforts to prevent unnecessary or untimely deaths will require evaluating both the social conditions that may cause diseases and the factors influencing the efficacy of medical services. Monitoring sentinel deaths and the subsequent search for their causes may provide new opportunities for preventing needless mortality.

#### References

- Charlton JRH, Hartley RM, Silver R, Holland WW. Geographical variation in mortality from conditions amenable to medical intervention in England and Wales. Lancet 1983;1:691-6.
- Rutstein DD, Berenberg W, Chalmers TC, Child CG 3rd, Fishman AP, Perrin EB. Measuring the quality of medical care: a clinical method. N Engl J Med 1976;294:582-8.

## **Current Trends**

# Bicycle-Related Injuries: Data from the National Electronic Injury Surveillance System

Since its inception in 1972, the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) has used its National Electronic Injury Surveillance System (NEISS) to collect data on product-related injuries treated in emergency rooms. Currently, 62 hospitals located throughout the United States contribute to this data base. Based on NEISS data, CPSC estimates that in 1985 there were nearly 10 million emergency room visits for nonoccupational, product-related injuries. Approximately 574,000 (5.7%) of these involved bicycles.

To determine whether NEISS could be expanded to cover all reported injuries, CPSC conducted a special study supported by CDC and the Bureau of Justice Statistics of the U.S. Department of Justice. From September 15-28, 1986, eight of the reporting hospitals collected data on all injured patients, whether or not consumer products were involved.

Information collected on the 3,418 injuries reported during the study period included a narrative description of all injury events. For each of the 2,232 product-related injuries, the product involved was assigned the corresponding NEISS consumer product code. The narrative descriptions were reviewed, and each injury was assigned an external cause of injury code (E-code\*) in accordance with the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (1). The 120 incidents that involved noninjury conditions were excluded from further analysis.

<sup>\*</sup>A shorthand notation for classifying the circumstance or event preceding an injury (1).

#### Bicycle-Related Injuries -Continued

To assess the value of the data collected during this broad, short-term study, bicyclerelated injuries were analyzed. During the 2-week study period, bicycle-related injuries accounted for slightly more than 3% of the 3,298 injuries treated. Five of the 101 patients with bicycle-related injuries were hospitalized, and the remaining 96 were treated and released. There were no deaths due to bicycle-related injuries.

As in other investigations, < 15% of the bicycle-related injuries resulted from collisions with motor vehicles (2,3). Sixty-nine bicyclists were injured while riding in locations other than public highways. Seventeen injuries involved bicycles being maintained or otherwise not being ridden. Compared with nonbicycle-related injuries, bicycle-related injuries were more likely to involve facial trauma but less likely to involve other head trauma (Table 3). Bicycle-related injuries accounted for approximately the same proportion of total trauma visits for both sexes (Table 4). However, there was large variation across age strata; more than half of the bicycle-related injuries occurred in the 5- to 14-year-old age group.

Reported by: Div of Injury Epidemiology and Control, Center for Environmental Health, CDC.

Editorial Note: Analysis of the NEISS data generated in this special 2-week study has led to several observations about the system. First, NEISS has the potential for monitoring a variety of injuries including those that are of public health concern. Second, the system can be temporarily expanded on short notice. Third, a succinct narrative description of the circumstances of injuries allows E-codes to be assigned in most instances. Finally, E-coding in areas such as bicycle-related injuries can be effectively supplemented by NEISS product codes (19 of the injuries were identified and two erroneous ones were excluded on the basis of the product code).

TABLE 3. Bicycle- and nonbicycle-related injuries treated in selected hospitals, by location of injury — National Electronic Injury Surveillance System, September 15-28, 1986

Location		cycle uries	Nonbicycle Injuries			
of Injury	No.	(%)	No.	(%)		
Head	5	(5.0)	312	(9.8)		
Face/Mouth	19	(18.8)	342	(10.7)		
Upper Extremities	18	(17.8)	712	(22.3)		
Lower Extremities	19	(18.8)	498	(15.6)		
Trunk	8	(7.9)	223	(7.0)		
Multiple Sites	12	(11.9)	339	(10.6)		
Other	20	(19.8)	771	(24.1		
Total	101	(100.0)	3,197	(~100.0		

TABLE 4. Bicycle- and nonbicycle-related injuries treated in selected hospitals, by age and sex — National Electronic Injury Surveillance System, September 15-28, 1986

		Male	16		Females						
	Rel	Bicycle- Related Injuries		Nonbicycle- Related Injuries		cycle- slated juries	Nonbicycle- Related Injuries				
Age	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)			
<4	4	(6.6)	242	(12.5)	2	(5.0)	156	(12.4)			
5-9	18	(29.5)	173	(8.9)	7	(17.5)	91	(7.2)			
10-14	14	(23.0)	208	(10.7)	13	(32.5)	99	(7.9)			
15-19	9	(14.8)	277	(14.3)	6	(15.0)	127	(10.1)			
20-24	4	(6.6)	238	(12.3)	5	(12.5)	132	(10.5)			
≥25	12	(19.7)	803	(41.4)	7	(17.5)	651	(51.8)			
Total	61	(~100.0)	1,941	(~100.0)	40	(100.0)	1,256	(~100.0			

### Bicycle-Related Injuries - Continued

In the special NEISS study reported here, bicycle-related injuries accounted for slightly more than 3% of the 3,298 injuries treated. The difference between this percentage and the 1985 CPSC national average of 5.7% is, for the most part, attributable to the inclusion of occupational and nonproduct-related injuries in the special study. These inclusions increased the denominator by almost 33% while adding no additional bicycle-related injuries to the numera tor. In addition, the study was carried out during a time when the rate of bicycle-related injuries is lower than the yearly average.

The number of injuries reported during this study did not provide sufficient data to con pletely evaluate the range of seriousness of bicycle-related injuries. In this short time perio there were no deaths and only 5 of the 101 patients with bicycle-related injuries require overnight hospitalization. Other investigations have shown that the most serious bicycle related injuries involve head trauma resulting from crashes with automobiles (4,5).

Measures to prevent bicycle-related injuries can be categorized under 1) proper roll design and maintenance, 2) improvement in bicycle manufacture, and 3) dissemination safety information (2). The need for improvements in the manufacturing of bicycles widentified through analysis of NEISS data. In response to this need, CPSC issued safety star ards in 1974 for bicycle frames, brakes, steering systems, and wheels and required a star ardized road test for bicycles (6). Dissemination of safety information includes educative regarding safe riding practices, bicycle maintenance, and use of appropriate head gear (Sample copies of bicycle safety information for children are available to health profession from the American Academy of Pediatrics, Publications, 141 Northwest Point Blvd., Elk Gra Village, IL 60009-0927.

#### References

- Commission on Professional and Hospital Activities. Annotated international classification of a eases, ninth revision, clinical modification. Ann Arbor: Commission on Professional and Hospital attivities, 1986.
- 2. Lind MG, Wollin S. Bicycle accidents. Acta Chir Scand 1986; suppl 531:1-47.
- 3. Selbst SM, Alexander D, Ruddy R. Bicycle-related injuries. Am J Dis Child 1987;141:140-4.
- 4. Guichon DMP, Myles ST. Bicycle injuries: one-year sample in Calgary. J Trauma 1975;15:504-6.
- Fife D, Davis J, Tate L, et al. Fatal injuries to bicyclists: the experience of Dade County, Florida Trauma 1983;23:745-55.
- Consumer Product Safety Commission. Hazardous substances and articles; administration and forcement regulations: banning of hazardous bicycles. Federal Register 1974;39(Jul 16):26100.
- 7. Weiss BD. Childhood bicycle injuries: what can we do [Editorial]? Am J Dis Child 1987;141:135-6

FIGURE I. Reported measles cases - United States, weeks 13-16, 1987



The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia, and available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 783-3238.

The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health

The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.

The editor welcomes accounts of interesting cases, outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials. Such reports and any other matters pertaining to editorial or other textual considerations should be addressed to: ATTN: Editor, Machidity and Martality Weekly Report, Canters for Disease Control. Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Director, Centers for Disease Control James O. Mason, M.D., Dr.P.H. Director, Epidemiology Program Office Carl W. Tyler, Jr., M.D.

Editor
Michael B. Gregg, M.D.
Managing Editor
Gwendolyn A. Ingraham

©U.S. Government Printing Office:1987-730-145/40058 Region IV

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control Atlanta GA 30333

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300



Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Dept. of H.H.S. HHS 396

A 48106SER 06 8639 SERIALS ACQUISITION DEPT UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS 300 NORTH ZEEB RDAD ANN ARBOR, MI 48106

